

**To:** Henry, Tala[Henry.Tala@epa.gov]  
**From:** Gillis, Chris  
**Sent:** Fri 1/31/2014 11:11:41 PM  
**Subject:** FW: ACTION: Newsweek re: review of MCHM and PPH

I am so sorry, I didn't include you but I hit "send" too fast! See below what I sent back to press office. I will loop you in if they come back with additional questions.

Thank you for your quick response!

Chris (Christine) Gillis, Public Affairs Specialist  
Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
202-564-0190  
[www.epa.gov/safepestcontrol](http://www.epa.gov/safepestcontrol) | [www.epa.gov/greenchemistry](http://www.epa.gov/greenchemistry) | [www.epa.gov/dfe/saferingredients](http://www.epa.gov/dfe/saferingredients)  
<http://blog.epa.gov> | <http://twitter.com/greenversations> | <http://www.epa.gov/newsroom>

-----Original Message-----

From: Gillis, Chris  
Sent: Friday, January 31, 2014 5:38 PM  
To: Behringer, Caroline; Loop, Travis; Jones, Enesta; Sauerhage, Maggie  
Cc: Maguire, Megan; Blackburn, Elizabeth; Strauss, Linda; Flattery, Priscilla; Senn, John; Canavan, Sheila  
Subject: RE: ACTION: Newsweek re: review of MCHM and PPH

We are still checking, so please use ORD's response.

Thank you.

Chris (Christine) Gillis, Public Affairs Specialist Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
202-564-0190  
[www.epa.gov/safepestcontrol](http://www.epa.gov/safepestcontrol) | [www.epa.gov/greenchemistry](http://www.epa.gov/greenchemistry) | [www.epa.gov/dfe/saferingredients](http://www.epa.gov/dfe/saferingredients)  
<http://blog.epa.gov> | <http://twitter.com/greenversations> | <http://www.epa.gov/newsroom>

-----Original Message-----

From: Behringer, Caroline  
Sent: Friday, January 31, 2014 5:23 PM  
To: Loop, Travis; Jones, Enesta; Sauerhage, Maggie  
Cc: Maguire, Megan; Blackburn, Elizabeth; Strauss, Linda; Gillis, Chris; Flattery, Priscilla; Senn, John  
Subject: RE: ACTION: Newsweek re: review of MCHM and PPH

Good by me.

Caroline Behringer  
Deputy Press Secretary  
Office of the Administrator  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Office: (202) 564-0098  
Cell: (202) 760-1732

-----Original Message-----

From: Loop, Travis  
Sent: Friday, January 31, 2014 5:18 PM  
To: Jones, Enesta; Sauerhage, Maggie  
Cc: Maguire, Megan; Blackburn, Elizabeth; Strauss, Linda; Behringer, Caroline; Gillis, Chris; Flattery, Priscilla; Senn, John  
Subject: RE: ACTION: Newsweek re: review of MCHM and PPH

I'm not having luck reaching anyone. Might have to rely on ORD's info on this.

Travis Loop  
Director of Communications  
Office of Water  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
202-870-6922

---

From: Jones, Enesta  
Sent: Friday, January 31, 2014 5:15 PM  
To: Sauerhage, Maggie  
Cc: Maguire, Megan; Blackburn, Elizabeth; Strauss, Linda; Behringer, Caroline; Gillis, Chris; Flattery, Priscilla; Senn, John; Loop, Travis  
Subject: RE: ACTION: Newsweek re: review of MCHM and PPH

Thanks, Maggie.

From: Sauerhage, Maggie  
Sent: Friday, January 31, 2014 5:15 PM  
To: Jones, Enesta  
Cc: Maguire, Megan; Blackburn, Elizabeth; Strauss, Linda; Behringer, Caroline; Gillis, Chris; Flattery, Priscilla; Senn, John; Loop, Travis  
Subject: RE: ACTION: Newsweek re: review of MCHM and PPH

Hi Enesta,

EPA provided technical feedback to NIEHS regarding MCHM. Travis is checking on other instances. Thank you.

Maggie Sauerhage  
Science Communications  
EPA Office of Research and Development  
(202) 564-3073  
RRB 41268

From: Jones, Enesta  
Sent: Friday, January 31, 2014 4:00 PM  
To: Sauerhage, Maggie  
Cc: Maguire, Megan; Blackburn, Elizabeth; Strauss, Linda; Behringer, Caroline; Gillis, Chris; Flattery, Priscilla; Senn, John; Loop, Travis  
Subject: Re: ACTION: Newsweek re: review of MCHM and PPH

Maggie,  
To whom are we providing feedback?

---

From: Sauerhage, Maggie

Sent: Friday, January 31, 2014 3:56:44 PM

To: Jones, Enesta

Cc: Maguire, Megan; Blackburn, Elizabeth; Strauss, Linda; Behringer, Caroline; Gillis, Chris; Flattery, Priscilla; Senn, John; Loop, Travis

Subject: RE: ACTION: Newsweek re: review of MCHM and PPH

Hi Enesta,

ORD is good with the response below but we haven't yet heard back from Travis/John if they are okay with it.

Question: Have crude-MCHM or PPH been the subject of an EPA review?

Response: While EPA has not performed a formal peer review of any of study on PPH or MCHM, EPA continues to provide technical feedback.

Additionally, our scientists shared this information that seems to have come out of OPPT if it is needed:

PPH stripped appears to be a mix of polypropylene glycol phenyl ether and the diphenyl ether.

The SIDS (Screening Information Data Set for High Production Volume Chemicals) is done by the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD)

Here is the SIDS document:

<http://www.inchem.org/documents/sids/sids/770354.pdf>

Go to this link for more:

<http://inchemsearch.ccohs.ca/inchem/jsp/search/search.jsp?serverSpec=charlie.ccohs.ca%3A9920&SubColl=SIDS&inchemcasreg=0&QueryText=770-35-4>

Thanks,

Maggie

Maggie Sauerhage

Science Communications

EPA Office of Research and Development

(202) 564-3073

RRB 41268

-----Original Message-----

From: Blackburn, Elizabeth

Sent: Friday, January 31, 2014 3:19 PM

To: Jones, Enesta

Cc: Sauerhage, Maggie; Maguire, Megan

Subject: RE: ACTION: Newsweek re: review of MCHM and PPH

Hi Enesta,

Still working on a response and should have something before your deadline. As you know there are lots of things going on everywhere. Please make sure to copy Megan Maguire and Maggie Sauerhage when you send inquiries to ORD.

Thank you so much.

Liz

Liz Blackburn

Science Communications Director

USEPA Office of Research and Development

blackburn.elizabeth@epa.gov<mailto:blackburn.elizabeth@epa.gov>

202-564-2192

mobile 202-436-2453

-----Original Message-----

From: Jones, Enesta

Sent: Friday, January 31, 2014 12:20 PM

To: Blackburn, Elizabeth; Strauss, Linda

Cc: Behringer, Caroline; Jones, Enesta; Gillis, Chris; Flattery, Priscilla

Subject: ACTION: Newsweek re: review of MCHM and PPH

Elizabeth and Linda,

Can you let us know whether this is more fitting for ORD, OCSPP or both to address? Her ddl is this afternoon. Thanks.

Have crude-MCHM or PPH been the subject of an EPA review?

ÿ